Inorg. Chem. 198:

\n
$$
\text{MoCl}_{5} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_{3}CN} \text{MoCl}_{4}(\text{CH}_{3}CN)_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{THE}} \text{MoCl}_{4}(\text{THF})_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{LIE}_{1}BH} \text{MoH}_{4}L_{4} \text{ (4)}
$$
\n
$$
\text{MoCl}_{4}(\text{THF})_{2} \xrightarrow{\text{LIE}_{1}BH} \text{MoH}_{4}L_{4} \text{ (4)}
$$
\n1.1

\n1.1

dation after a month; this compound is best stored in an inert atmosphere.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the **U.S.** Department of the Army for support of this work and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship (to R.H.C.).

**Registry No.**  $M \circ H_4(PM ePh_2)_4$ **, 32109-07-2;**  $WH_4(PM ePh_2)_4$ **,** LiEt<sub>3</sub>BH, 22560-16-3; MoCl<sub>4</sub>(THF)<sub>2</sub>, 16998-75-7; WCl<sub>6</sub>, 13283-01-7. 36351-36-7; MoH<sub>4</sub>(dppe)<sub>2</sub>, 32109-09-4; WH<sub>4</sub>(PEtPh<sub>2)4</sub>, 41627-13-8;

> Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, Wesleyan University, Middletown, Connecticut 06457

# **Homogeneous Reduction of Ligated Carbon Dioxide and Carbon Monoxide to Alkoxymethyl Ligands**

Thomas Bodnar, Eugene Coman, Kevin Menard, and Alan Cutler\*

*Received July 23, 1981* 

Studies on the stoichiometric reduction of ligated carbon monoxide to  $C_1$  formyl, hydroxy- or alkoxymethyl, and methyl ligands are pertinent to the rational design of homogeneous catalysts that convert synthesis gas  $-CO/H<sub>2</sub>$  mixtures-to organic products.<sup>1</sup> Borohydride reagents  $(BH<sub>4</sub>^-$ ,  $HBR<sub>3</sub>^-$ ,  $HB(OR)_3^-$  reduce, for example, neutral metal carbonyl systems to anionic formyl complexes,<sup>2a-d</sup> cationic metal carbonyls to neutral formyls,<sup>2c,d</sup> CpRe(CO)<sub>2</sub>NO<sup>+</sup> to hydroxymethyl (also with  $Et_2AlH_2^-$ ) and methyl compounds,  $2d,e$  $CpM(CO)_{3}PPh_{3}^{+}$  (M = Mo, W) into  $CpM(CO)_{2}PPh_{3}(CH_{3})^{2f}$ and CO ligated to  $BH<sub>3</sub>$  or  $BEt<sub>3</sub>$ .<sup>2g</sup> Transition-metal hydride complexes also have been used in fixing CO ligands.<sup>3</sup>

- (I) Masters, C. *Adu. Organomet. Chem.* **1979, 17, 61.** Muetterties, E. L.; Stein, J. *Chem. Reo.* **1979, 79, 749.** Wolczanski, P. T.; Bercaw, J. E. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980, 13, 121.**
- **(2)** (a) Casey, C. P.; Neuman, **S.** M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1976, 98, 5395; 1978, 100, 2544.** Gladysz, J. A,; Williams, *G.* M.; Tam, W.; Johnson, D. L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1977,140,** cl. Winter, **S.** R.; Cornett, *G.*  W.; Thompson, E. A. *Ibid.* **1977, 133, 339.** Gladysz, J. A.; Selover, J. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1978, 319.** Casey, C. P.; Neumann, S. M. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1978, 100, 2544.** Gladysz, J. A.; Tam, W. *Ibid.* **1978,100, 2545.** Darst, K. P.; Lukehart, C. M. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1979,171, 65.** Selover, J. C.; Marsi, M.; Parker, D. W.; Gladysz, J. A. *Ibid.* **1981, 206, 317.** (b) Pruett, R. L.; Schoening, R. C.; Vidal, J. L.; Fiato, R. A. *Ibid.* **1979, 182, c57.** Johnson, B. F. G.; Kelly, R. L.; Lewis, J.; Thornback, J. R. *Ibid.* **1980, 190, c91.** Schoening, R. C.; Vidal, J. L.; Fiato, R. A. *Ibid.* **1981, 206, c43.** Steinmetz, G. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1981, 103, 1278.** (c) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A,; Rinz, **J.** E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979,101,741.** Tam, W.; Wong, W.-K.; Gladysz, J. A. *Ibid.* **1979, 101, 1589.** (d) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAbster, D. R.; Rinz, J. E. *Ibid.* 1980, 102, 1927. (e) Stewart, R. P.; Okamoto, N.; Graham, W. A. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 42, c32. Sweet, J. R.; Graham, W. A. G. *Ibid.* 1979, 173, c9. (f) Treichel, P. M.; Shubkin, R. L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1967,6, 1328.** (9) Brown, H. C.; Hubbard, J. L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1979,44,467.** Rathke, M. W.; Brown, H. C. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1966,88, 2606.**
- **(3)** Manriquez, J. M.; McAlister, D. R.; Sanner, R. D.; Bercaw, J. **E.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1978, 100, 2716.** Labinger, J. A.; Wong, K. S.; Scheidt, W. R. *Ibid.* **1978,** *100,* **3254.** Fachinetti, *G.;* Floriani, C.; Roselli, **A.;** Pucci, **S.** *J. Chem.* Soc., *Chem. Commun.* **1978, 269. Gell,**  K. **I.;** Schwartz, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978, 162,** cl I. Wolczanski, P. T.; Threlkel, R. S.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101, 218. **Belmonte, P.**; Schrock, R. R.; Churchill, M. R.; Youngs, W. J. *Ibid.* **1980, 102, 2858.** Threlkel, R. **S.;** Bercaw, J. E. *Ibid.* **1981, 103, 2650.**

Our interest lies in probing reaction pathways for converting ligated CO to hydroxymethyl functionalities and then to  $C_2$ or higher coordinated ligands. Alkoxymethyl complexes represent convenient products of CO fixation during stoichiometric studies since the anticipated instability of the analogous hydroxymethyl compounds is eliminated.<sup>2d,e,4</sup> Others have contemplated the intermediacy of hydroxymethyl complexes in homogeneous catalysis and have modeled facets of this chemistry with alkoxymethyl or acyloxymethyl deriv-<br>atives.<sup>5</sup> We recently reported the selective conversion of We recently reported the selective conversion of  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OCH}_3$  to the phosphine-substituted complexes and their respective  $C_2$  organic molecules:  $CpFe(CO)L (\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3)/\text{CH}_2=\text{CH}_2$ , CpFe(CO)L(CH<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>)/ CH<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>, and CpFe(CO)L(CH<sub>2</sub>CHO)/CH<sub>3</sub>CHO.<sup>6</sup>

This paper reports two observations on the fixation of CO and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  ligands appended to  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>$ . First, sodium cyanoborohydride in methanol or ethanol efficiently reduces a carbonyl on CpFe(CO)<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (1), via a hydroxymethyl intermediate, to an alkoxymethyl ligand. Second,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is incorporated into this sequence by generating **1** from the reaction of  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>^-Na^+$  and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and then adding acid. Together both sequences constitute novel conversion of ligated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  to an alkoxymethyl ligand. The distribution of the sequence by generating **I** Home (CO)<sub>2</sub>-Na<sup>+</sup> and CO<sub>2</sub> and then adding acid<br>uences constitute novel conversion of liga<br>uymethyl ligand.<br> $CpFe-\frac{CO2}{P} = \frac{2H^+}{H_2O}$   $CpFe-\frac{CO2}{P} = \frac{2H^+}{H_2O}$   $CpFe$ 



## **Experimental Section**

**General Manipulations and Physical Measurements.** All synthetic manipulations were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere with standard Schlenk techniques and glassware suitably modified for inert-atmosphere work.' A nitrogen atmosphere was routinely provided for the following four operations: (a) carrying out reactions, (b) handling all solutions of metal complexes, (c) column chromatography, and (d) breaking the vacuum to evacuated vessels, including the Buchi rotovaporator. Solvents for synthetic work and recording of spectral data were deoxygenated by bubbling dinitrogen through for 20 min. Camag alumina (neutral, activity 3) was used in column chromatography.

Infrared spectra were taken of  $CH_2Cl_2$  solutions (0.10 M) with NaCl amalgam-spaced (1.0-mm) solution cells and were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 297 spectrophotometer. The  $\nu(\mathrm{CO})$  frequencies  $(2200-1500 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  were calibrated against the polystyrene  $1601$ -cm<sup>-1</sup> absorption. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were taken of concentrated CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions, after centrifugation off of insoluble residues. Varian models EM-360 and XL-200 NMR spectrometers supplied the NMR spectra, which are reported as  $\delta$  values downfield from internal Me<sub>4</sub>Si. Combustion microanalyses were performed by Baron Consulting Co., Orange, CT.

- **(4)** (a) Casey, C. P.; Andrews, M. A.; McAlister, D. R. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.*  **1979, 101, 3371.** (b) Headford, C. E. L.; Roper, W. R. *J. Organomer. Chem.* **1980, 198, c7.** (c) Elroi, H.; Myerstein, D. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.*  **1978, 100, 5540.** Espenson, J. H.; BakoE, **A.** *Ibid.* **1980,** *102,* **2488; 1981,103, 2721,2728.** (d) Lead reference a-hydroxyalkyl complexes:
- Vaugh, G. D.; Gladysz, J. A. Ibid. 1981, 103, 5608.<br>
(5) Pruett, R. L. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 1977, 295, 239. Dombek, B. D. J.<br>
Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6466. Gladysz, J. A.; Selover, J. C.;<br>
Strouse, C. E. Ibid. 1978, 100
- 
- **(7)** Brown, H. C. "Organic Syntheses via Boranes"; Wiley: New York, **1975.** Shriver, D. F. "The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds"; McGraw-Hill: New York, **1969.** King, R. B. "Organometallic Syntheses"; Academic Press: New York, **1965;** Vol. **1.**

**Materials.**  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_3$ <sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (1)<sup>8</sup> and  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2$ CH<sub>2</sub>OR (3a,  $R = Me$ ; **3b**,  $R = Et$ )<sup>9</sup> were prepared according to literature procedures. Alkoxymethyl complexes **3a,b** are readily purified by trapto-trap distillation ( $10^{-2}$  mm); overall, 17-g quantities (ca. 75% yields) were routinely procured from alkylation of  $NaFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Cp$  with the chloromethyl methyl and ethyl ethers. *(Caution:* chloromethyl alkyl ethers are potential carcinogens.26) Reagent grade solvents, NaB- $H_3CN$ , phenyl- and ethyl isocyanates, and  $HBF_4 OEt_2$  were obtained commercially and used as received. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were distilled under nitrogen from sodium benzophenone ketyl and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, respectively.

**Synthesis.** CpFe $(CO)_2CH_2OR$  (3a, R = Me; 3b, R = Et) from  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_{3}^{+}\text{BF}_{4}^{-}$  (1). A suspension of  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_{3}^{+}\text{BF}_{4}^{-}$  (1) (0.584 g, 2.0 mmol) in 30 mL of methanol was treated with NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.126 g, 2.0 mmol) at room temperature. The initially insoluble organometallic salt gave a clear yellow solution within 0.5 h that turned red after an additional 3.5 h. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure (0.1 mm, 0 "C), and the red residue was evacuated for an additional hour. This latter operation distilled the volatile CpFe(CO),H *(5)*  into the vacuum traps.<sup>10</sup> The residue was extracted with ether (90 mL), filtered, concentrated, and then chromatographed on 75 g of alumina with 1:4 ether-pentane. Elution with the ether-pentane cleanly removed a yellow band, which produced  $CpFe(CO)_{2}CH_{2}OCH_{3}$ **(3a)** as an amber fluid: 0.179 g (40%); IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  2005, 1948 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 4.83 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.77 (s, 5, Cp), 3.21 (s, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>); NMR (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>) δ 4.80 (s, 2, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.24 (s, 5, Cp), 3.16 (s, CH<sub>3</sub>). A second broad, brown band was eluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$ ; this afforded

 $[CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]$ <sub>2</sub> in 6% (21 mg) yield.

Substitution of ethanol for methanol gave CpFe-  $(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>$  (3b) (134 mg, 28%) after workup and chromatography, but a reaction time of 12 h at room temperature was required. CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>: IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 2000, 1940 cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR (CDCI,) *6* 4.84 **(s,** 2, FeCH,), 4.75 **(s,** *5,* Cp), 3.34 (q, *J* = (s, 2, FeCH,), 4.33 **(s,** *5,* Cp), 3.32 **(q,** *J* = 7.0 Hz, OCH,), 1.11 (t, 7.0 Hz, 2, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.13 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>); NMR (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  4.83  $J = 7.0$  Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>).

 $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCONHR (4a, R = Et; 4b, R = Ph). To a stirred$ suspension of  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_3$ <sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (1) (0.584 g, 2.0 mmol) in methanol (30 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C was added NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (0.126 g, 2.0 mmol). After 2 h of stirring at 0 °C, the resulting yellow mixture was evaporated to dryness ( $0^{\circ}$ C,  $10^{-1}$  mm, 0.75 h), and then it was extracted with cold toluene (80 mL,  $0^{\circ}$ C). Excess phenyl or ethyl isocyanate (3 mL) was added prior to storage at room temperature for 6 h. The corresponding orange solution was then concentrated (0.10 mm, 2 h) to an oily residue and was added in a minimum volume of ether to a  $25 \times 2$  cm alumina chromatography column made up in pentane. Pentane elution brought down a brown band that contained [CpFe- $(CO)$ , as the only organometallic. Et<sub>2</sub>O elution then cleanly removed a broad yellow band of the urethane.<sup>11</sup>

CpFe(CO)zCH20CONHCHzCH3 **(4a):** yellow gum (0.07 1 g, 14% yield); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) 2016, 1958 (C=O), 1704 (C=O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR (CDCI3) 6 5.24 (s, 2, FeCH,), 4.80 **(s,** *5,* Cp), 4.50 (m, 1, NH), 3.15 (dq,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 2, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 1.09 (t,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 3, CH<sub>3</sub>). The assignment of the five-line  $NCH<sub>2</sub>$  multiplet as an overlapping doublet of quartets was verified by spin decoupling experiments. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{13}NO_4Fe$ : C, 47.34; H, 4.70. Found: C, 47.59; H, 4.89.

CpFe(C0)zCH20CONHC6H5 **(4b):** yellow-tan gummy solid after precipitation with pentane (0.208 g, 32% yield); IR  $(CH_2Cl_2)$  2018, 1961 (C $\equiv$ O), 1722 (C $\equiv$ O) cm<sup>-1</sup>; NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.24 (br m, 5, Ph), 5.33 **(s,** 2, FeCH,j, 4.76 *(8,* 5, Cp). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{13}NO_4Fe$ : C, 55.08; H, 4.01. Found: C, 54.91; H, 4.22.

 $\text{CpFe(CO)}_{3}^{+}\text{BF}_{4}^{-}$  (1) from  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_{2}^{-}\text{Na}^{+}$  and  $\text{CO}_{2}$ . [CpFe- $(CO)_2$ <sub>2</sub> (4.0 g, 11.3 mmol) in 60 mL of THF was reductively cleaved

- **(8)** (a) King, R. B.; Bisnette, **M.;** Fronzaglia, **A.** *J. Orgunumef. Chem.* **1966,**  *5.* 341. Busetto, L.; Angelici, R. J. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1968, 2,** 391. Whitesides, T. H.; Shelly, J. *J. Organomef. Chem.* **1975,** *92.* 215. (b) Angelici, R. J. *Arc. Chem. Res.* **1972, 5,** 335.
- **(9)** Jolly, P. W.; Pettit, R. *J. Am. Chem. Sor.* **1966,** *88,* 5044. Green, **M. I..** H.; Ishaq, **M.;** Whiteley, R. **N.** *J. Chem. SOC. A* **1967,** 1508.
- (10) CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>H (5) was identified by IR  $(\nu(C=0)$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 2016, 1952  $cm^{-1}$ ), its facile decomposition (in the absence of solvent) to [CpFe- $(CO)_2$ ]<sub>2</sub> at room temperature, and quantitative conversion to CpFe- $(CO)_2$ Cl in CCI<sub>4</sub>. This latter operation established at least a 25% conversion of **1** to **5**.
- (11) CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OCH<sub>3</sub> (3a) is recovered quantitatively after treatment with excess ethyl or phenyl isocyanate in toluene for 12 h.

with Na sand<sup>12</sup> (1.0 g, 43.5 mmol): agitation in an ultrasonic cleaning  $bath<sup>13</sup>$  for 3 h at room temperature afforded quantitative conversion to an orange solution of  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Na$ . Bubbling  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the cold (-80 °C) filtered solution of  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>Na$  for 20 min produced a dark green suspension. Addition of  $HBF<sub>4</sub>·OEt<sub>2</sub>$  (9.0 mL, 70 mmol) gave a dark pink suspension that was stirred without further change (except for  $CO_2$  effervescence) at -55 °C (1 h) and then at room temperature  $(1 h)$ . Solvent was removed  $(30 °C, 20 mm)$ , and  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  extracts (100 mL) of the residue were filtered through a Celite pad. Treatment with ether (350 mL) produced a light yellow precipitate of CpFe(CO)<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> (1) that was collected, reprecipitated from  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$ -ether (50 mL:350 mL), and vacuum-dried: 5.89 g, 89% yield. Quantitative IR studies in  $CH<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>$  solution [ $\nu$ (C=0) 2123, 2074 cm<sup>-1</sup>] and the NMR spectrum  $[CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H  $\delta$  5.89 (Cp)]$ established the absence of impurities.

#### **Results and Discussion**

One might have expected  $CpFe(CO)$ ,<sup>+</sup> to represent a terrible choice for CO fixation studies since its reduction to  $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})_2\text{H}$  (5)<sup>14</sup> (with NaBH<sub>4</sub> in THF) and to  $(\eta^4$ - $C_5H_6$ )Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> (6)<sup>15</sup> (with NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN in THF) had been previously demonstrated. We confirmed these results and further demonstrated that 1 equiv of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (0 "C) converts **1** to **5** exclusively. Also, 1 equiv of NaBH,CN in  $CH_3NO_2$  or of  $Ph_3PCH_3+BH_3CN^-$  in  $CH_3NO_2$  or  $CH_2Cl_2$ likewise converts 1 to 6. NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN in either CH<sub>3</sub>OH or CH<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>OH, however, reduces 1 to the corresponding alkoxymethyl complexes **3a,b** in moderate yields.



Transience of a thermally unstable hydroxymethyl complex  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}$  (2), which subsequently undergoes solvolysis to **3a,b,** is consistent with the results of trapping experments. Adding ethyl or phenyl isocyanate to crude reaction mixtures of 1 and NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (after the alcohol is replaced with toluene) derivatized 2 as the urethanes  $4a,b$ .<sup>11</sup> We could not unambiguously assign NMR absorptions to **2** in the above toluene extracts because variable amounts of  $[CpFe(CO),],$ *5,* **3a,** and other impurities interfered. The fully characterized hydroxymethyl complex CpRe(CO)NO(CH<sub>2</sub>OH)<sup>2d,4a</sup> also converts to its methoxymethyl derivative in methanol.

NaBH,CN chemoselectively reduces **1** in alcohol since neither CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> (7) nor  $(\eta^4$ -C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> (6) were detected. The absence of **7** was established through NMR examination of the reaction mixture under conditions where 5% **7** would have been detected; and **6** would have been easily detected by IR  $[\nu(CO) 2045, 1968 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ in THF}]$  and isolated. Substantial amounts of  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>H(5)$  however did accumulate as the primary byproduct;<sup>10</sup> it thermally decomposed to  $[CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]$ <sup>14</sup> Either CO deinsertion from an ephemeral

- (14) Davison, **A.;Green, M.** L. H.; Wilkinson, *G. J. Chem. Soc.* **1961,** 3172.
- (15) Whitesides. **T.** H.; Shelly, J. *J. Orgunomet. Chem.* **1975,** *92,* 215.

<sup>(12)</sup> Furniss, B. **S.;** Hannaford, **A.** J.; Rogers, V.; Smith, P. W. G.; Tatchell, **A.** R. 'Vogel's Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry", 4th ed.; Longmans, Green and Co.: New **York,** 1978; p 313.

<sup>(</sup>I **3)** Luche, J.-L.; Damiano, J.-C. *J. Am. Chem.* **SOC. 1980,** *102,* 7926. We find that ultrasonic agitation in the presence of Na pellets serves as a convenient means of generating  $CpFe(CO)_2^-Na^+$  on a 10-mmol scale. Other procedures could be substituted: Plotkin, **J.** *S.;* Shore, *S. G. Inorg. Chem.* **1981,** *20,* 284 and references cited.

formyl complex<sup>2a-d</sup> CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CHO (8)<sup>16</sup> or CH<sub>2</sub>O deinsertion from **2** would account for **5.** 

So why does the alcoholic NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN medium selectively reduce **1"** to **2** and **3a,b?** NaBH,CN serves as an excellent reducing agent for Lewis acids<sup>18a</sup> and is both milder and more selective than  $BH_4^-$  or  $Et_3BH^-$  toward coordinated ligands.<sup>18b</sup> A plausible reaction scheme for reduction of **1** thus incorporates Lewis acid stabilization and subsequent reduction of the formyl complex  $8^{16}$  by  $BH<sub>2</sub>CN<sup>19</sup>$  giving 9. A similar scheme reducing agent for Lewis acids<sup>18a</sup> and is both milder and more<br>
selective than BH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> or Et<sub>3</sub>BH<sup>-</sup> toward coordinated ligands.<sup>18b</sup><br>
A plausible reaction scheme for reduction of 1 thus incorpo-<br>
rates Lewis acid stabi



was proposed for the BH<sub>3</sub> reduction of  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2\text{COCH}_3$ to  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3$  via a more reactive alkoxyborane intermediate  $CpFe(CO)_2CH(OBH_2)CH_3^{20}$  Transesterification of **9** by methanol then affords **2.** 

One of the CO ligands on  $\text{CpFe(CO)}_3^+$  (1) can be incorporated by treating the appropriate  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>$  reagent with CO or  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . Carbonylation of halide complexes CpFe- $(CO)<sub>2</sub>X$ ,<sup>14,21a</sup> labile salts CpFe $(CO)<sub>2</sub>L<sup>+</sup>$  (L = acetone,<sup>216</sup> isobutylene,<sup>21c</sup>  $H_2O^{21d}$ ), or even ferrocene<sup>21e</sup> accordingly represent established preparative procedures of **1.** We now report that protonation of the  $CO_2$  adduct of  $CpFe(CO)_2^-Na^{+22}$  gives 1 in high yield; presumably an acid labile  $\text{CpFe}(\text{CO})_2\text{CO}_2\text{H}^{23}$ precursor is involved. (Alkoxycarbonyl complexes, e.g.,  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>Et$ , exhibit similar acid lability.<sup>8</sup>) The exact nature of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  adduct of  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>$  remains obscure (studies are in progress), but the formulation  $CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>C-$ 

- (16) Cutler, A. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1979, 101, 604.<br>(17) (a) Our results with the NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN reduction of 1 are not prototypal (a) Our results with the NaBH, CON reduction of the NaBH, C<sub>D</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub> and CpMo(CO),PPh<sub>3</sub>+ undergo no reaction with NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (12 h), but refluxing the solutions for 12 h provided cyano complexes: cy<br>CpFe(CO)PPh<sub>3</sub>(CN)<sup>17b</sup> (42% conversion, 41% CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> pt<br>recovered) and CpMo(CO)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>(CN)<sup>17c</sup> (18% yield, 44% CpMo- $(CO)_2$ PPh<sub>3</sub>(H) also obtained). CpMo(CO)<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, Mn(CO)<sub>6</sub><sup>+</sup>, and Mn-(CO)<sub>5</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> (all PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>) reacted with 1 equiv of NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN in CH<sub>3</sub>OH (0 °C, 1 h) and exclusively gave the corresponding neutral hydride complexes in 80–95% yields. Co(CO)<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup>PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> converted to [Co-(CO)<sub>3</sub>PPh<sub>3</sub>]<sub>2</sub> quantitatively under the same conditions. (b) Reger, D.<br>L. *Inorg. Chem.* **1975**, 14, 660. Faller, J. W. J. *Organomet. Chem. 1975,* 96,99. (c) Beach, D. L.; Dattilo, M.; Barnett, K. W. *I6id. 1977, 140,* 47.
- (18) (a) Lane, C. F. *Synthesis 1975,* 135. (b) Bayoud, R. **S.;** Brehl, E. R.; Reeves, P. C. J. *Orgammet. Chem. 1979, 174,* 297.
- (19) Hutchins, R. 0.; Kandasamay, D.; Maryanoff, C. A.; Masilamani, D.; Maryanoff, B. E. J. *Org. Chem. 1977, 42,* 82.
- (20) Van Doorn, J. A,; Masters, C.; Volger, H. C. J. *Organomet. Chem. 1976, 105,* 245.
- (21) (a) Fischer, E. *0.;* Fichtel, K. *Chem. Ber. 1961,94,* 1200. King, R. B. *Inorg. Chem. 1962, 1,* 964. Kruse, A,; Angelici, R. J. *Organomet. Chem. 1970, 24,* 231. (b) Johnson, E. C.; Meyer, T. J.; Winterton, N. *Inorg. Chem. 1971, 10,* 1673. Williams, W. E.; Lalor, F. J. J. *Chem.*  **Soc.,** *Dalton Trans. 1973,* 1329. (c) Giering, W. P.; Rosenblum, M. J. *Chem. SOC. D 1971,* 441. (d) Dombek, B. D.; Angelici, R. J. *Inorg. Chim. Acra 1973, 7,* 345. **(e)** Roman, E.; Astruc, D. *Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18,* 3248.
- (22) Evans, *G.* 0.; Walter, W. F.; Mills, D. R.; Streit, C. A. J. *Orgammet. Chem. 1978, 144,* c34.
- (23) Grice, N. Kao, S. C.; Pettit, R. J. *Am. Chem.* **SOC.** *1979, 101,* 1979.

 $(O)OCO<sub>2</sub>$  is consonant with facile generation of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in the absence of acid.<sup>22</sup> A similar  $(CO_2)_2$  adduct of  $W(CO)_5^2$ also decomposes to  $CO_3^{2-}$  and W(CO)<sub>6</sub>;<sup>24</sup> and other examples exist for both alkylation of ligated CO<sub>2</sub>, giving an alkoxycarbonyl complex,  $^{25a}$  and reduction to CO complexes.  $^{25b}$  This study documents the first example of ligated  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fixation, via a CO complex, to a transition-metal alkyl complex.

**Acknowledgment.** Support from the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, is gratefully acknowledged. An NSF departmental instrumentation grant partially paid for the FT NMR spectrometer employed.

Registry **No. 1,** 31781-41-6; **3a,** 12108-35-9; 3b, 12244-98-3; 4a, 80293-89-6; 4b, 80288-46-6; 5, 35913-82-7; CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>Na<sup>+</sup>, 12152-20-4;  $[CpFe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>$ , 12154-95-9; NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN, 25895-60-7; methanol, 67-56-1; ethanol, 64-17-5; CO<sub>2</sub>, 124-38-9; phenyl isocyanate, 103-71-9; ethyl isocyanate, 109-90-0.

- (24) Maher, J. **M.;** Cooper, N. J. *J. Am. Chem. SOC. 1980, 102,* 7606 and references cited.
- (25) (a) Harlow, R. L.; Kinney, J. B.; Herskovitz, T. J. *Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980,* 813. (b) Felkin, H.; Knowles, P. J.; Meunier, B. J. *Organomet. Chem. 1978,146,* 151. Davies, **S.** *G.;* Green, M. L. H. J. *Chem.* **SOC.,** *Dalton Trans. 1978,* 15 10.
- (26) Bretherick, L. "Hazards in the Chemical Laboratory", 3rd ed.; The Royal Society of Chemistry, Burlington House: London, 1981; p 247.

Contribution from the Abteilung für Chemie, Ruhr-Universitat Bochum, D-4630 Bochum, Germany

### **Convenient Route to Monocyclopentadienylzirconium(1V) Complexes**

Gerhard Erker,\* Klaus Berg, Lothar Treschanke, and Klaus Engel

## *Received August* **3,** *1981*

Monocyclopentadienyl complexes have substantially contributed to the diversity of features of organometallic transition-metal compounds. However, surprisingly few such substrates have been described for group 4B metals. A major cause for the rare occurrence of CpMR<sub>3</sub> examples for at least the elements Zr and Hf appears to be the lack of easily available precursors rather than an extraordinarily low stability.<sup>1</sup> We and others<sup>2a</sup> have noticed that a suitable starting material such as CpZrCl, **(3)** for a synthesis of previously undisclosed substrates CpZr(aryl), **(5)** is difficult to obtain by the usual *nucleophilic* routes via substitution of halide by cyclopentadienyl anion from various sources.<sup>3</sup> Sufficiently pure samples of **3** have recently been obtained in small quantities by two different *radical* pathways.2 We here report an easily performable new synthesis of **3** which, in our opinion, appears to be superior to the tedious procedures reported yielding this versatile starting material in large amounts and high purity.

The photoinduced chlorination of zirconocene dichloride **1**  leads to the selective removal of only one cyclopentadienyl ligand when carried out at ambient temperature.<sup>4</sup> Presumably

- 
- (4) **See** for a comparison: Gorsich, R. D. J. *Am. Chem. SOC. 1958, 80,*  4744; *1960, 82,* 421 1.

<sup>(1)</sup> Giannini, U.; Cesca, *S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1960,* 19. Green, M. L. H.; Lucas, C. R. J. *Organomet.* Chem. *1974, 73,* 259. Razuvaev, *G.* A.; Latyaeva, V. N.; Vishinskaya, V. I.; Kilyakova, G. A. *Zh. 06shch. Khim. 1966, 36,* 1491. Cannell, L. G. J. *Am. Chem. SOC. 1972, 94,*  6867. Brindley, P. B.; Scotton, M. J. J. *Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans. 2 1981,* 419.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Wells, N. J.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. *G.* J. *Organomet. Chem.*  1981, 213, C17. (b) Wengrovius, J. H.; Schrock, R. R.; Day, C. S.<br>*Inorg. Chem.* 1981, 20, 1844.<br>(3) (a) Reid, A. F.; Wailes, P. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 1964, 2, 329. (b)<br>Sloan, C. L.; Barber, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959,